UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED	STATES	OF	AME	RICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.	ONL	DER OF DEFENTION FENDING TRIAL			
	Jose Suarez-Apodaca	_ Case Number:	11-09593M-001			
and was repre	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 esented by counsel. I conclude by a prep ant pending trial in this case.	onderance of the evidence	was held on July 6, 2011. Defendant was present the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention			
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT				
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.					
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the ch	e of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant Enforcement, placing him/her beyon or otherwise removed.	ed herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs ment, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported				
	The defendant has no significant co	ontacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.					
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.					
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
	The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.					
The at the time o	Court incorporates by reference the mar f the hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial oted in the record.	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court			
	_	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe					
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.					
		IONS REGARDING DETE				
a corrections appeal. The	s facility separate, to the extent practicab defendant shall be afforded a reasonab I States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	le, from persons awaiting or le opportunity for private co the Government, the persor ose of an appearance in co				
		S AND THIRD PARTY RE				
deliver a cop Court.	by of the motion for review/reconsideration	on to Premial Services at lea	vith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to st one day prior to the hearing set before the District			
Services sur	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a release fficiently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be con ore the District Court to alle	sidered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial we Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and			
DATE: _Ju	ıly 6, 2011	and the same of th	IAM D. IDNAMA			
		and the state of	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge			